

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,589	06/30/2004	Stefan Clauss	2893	4763
7	7590 06/28/2005		EXAMINER	
Striker Striker & Stenby			TERESINSKI, JOHN	
103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>0</b>			2858	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/500,589	CLAUSS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		John Teresinski	2858			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO THE N - Extens after S - If the j - If NO   - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute toply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) ☐ 3) ☐	1)					
Disposition	on of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-5,7-15 and 17-19 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 6 and 16 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application	on Papers					
10)⊠ 1	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 30 June 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1	D accepted or b)⊠ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(	(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 6/30/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Drawings

The drawings are objected to because the non-descriptive box elements in Fig. 4 and 5 and elements 21, 44, 46, 48 should have descriptive labels consistent with the specification. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means"

Art Unit: 2858

and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract is composed of multiple paragraphs and includes legal phraseology ("said" line 5). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2, 4, 5, 7-15 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,211,662 to Bijawat et al..

Regarding claim 1, Bijawat et al. disclose a hand held hidden object sensing method and apparatus according to which a detection signal is generated by at least one capacitive sensor device (column 3 lines 59-61), the detection signal penetrating the medium that is to be analyzed in such a way that information is obtained about the objects that are enclosed in the medium by evaluating the detection signal, particularly by measuring impedance (column 7 lines 20-30), wherein, to evaluate the detection signal, an algorithm is used that separates the measured signal

Application/Control Number: 10/500,589

Art Unit: 2858

into signal parts originating from the enclosing medium and signal parts originating from the object enclosed in the medium (column 3 lines 43-61).

Regarding claims 2 and 7-9, Bijawat et al. disclose a depth parameter for the material of the enclosing medium (column 3 lines 43-61).

Regarding claim 10, Bijawat et al. disclose depth information of the medium is obtained by measuring dielectric constants/changes in capacitance (column 4 lines 43-52).

Regarding claim 11, Bijawat et al. disclose the signal being measured and evaluated as a function of a lateral displacement of the sensor device that is generating the detection signal (column 4 lines 53-55).

Regarding claim 12, Bijawat et al. disclose the signal being measured and evaluated as a function of more than one measuring frequency (column 3 lines 62-67).

Regarding claim 13, Bijawat et al. disclose a hand-held locating device (10) for locating objects enclosed in a medium, having a sensor device, with means for generating a detection signal for the sensor device (column 7 lines 20-30), a control and evaluation unit for determining measured values from the detection signal (column 4 lines 3-15), and an output device for the determined measuring devices (column 4 lines 3-15).

Regarding claim 14, Bijawat et al. disclose the measuring device includes at least one internal calibration device for a measured signal (column 4 lines 3-15).

Regarding claims 4, 5 and 15, Bijawat et al. disclose measurement of at least one defined impedance (column 4 lines 43-45).

Regarding claim 17, Bijawat et al. disclose switching means for temporary activation of the calibration device (column 4 lines 28-32).

Application/Control Number: 10/500,589 Page 5

Art Unit: 2858

Regarding claim 18, Bijawat et al. disclose means for saving material data, in particular dielectric constants, of known materials (column 4 lines 3-15).

Regarding claim 19, Bijawat et al. disclose means that permit calculated measured results, in particular the position and/or depth of an object enclosed in a medium, to be depicted in a spatially-resolved manner on a display device of the measuring device (Fig. 1 elements 28 and 30).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bijawat et al. in view of U.S. Patent Publication No. 2001/0024126 to Sporl et al..

Regarding claim 3, Bijawat et al. does not disclose a program map capable of being queried by an evaluation algorithm. Sporl et al. disclose a capacitive stud sensor including a a program map capable of being queried by an evaluation algorithm (paragraph 16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a shift in current as taught by Sporl et al. into Bijawat et al. for the purpose of providing increased accuracy

## Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2858

Claims 6 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 6 and 16:

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 6 and 16 is the inclusion of obtaining at least one reference signal via a short-circuiting of the detection signal, in particular in the capacitive sensor device. It is these features found in the claim, as they are claimed in the combination that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record, which makes this claim allowable over the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Teresinski whose telephone number is (571) 272-2235. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,589

Art Unit: 2858

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IT.

June 21, 2005

ANJAN DEB PRIMARY EXAMINER